Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/711,670

Docket No.:12847-US-PA

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending of which claims 1, 8 and 14 have been amended and the

claims 3, 9 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer in order to more

explicitly describe the claimed invention. Moreover, applicants respectfully traverse the

Examiner's rejection based the following arguments. Furthermore, applicants

respectfully submit that claims 1-2, 4-8, and 10-17 patently define over prior art of

record and reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Discussion of rejection to claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

2. Claims 1-3, 5-9 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Baumhauer Jr.et al. (US 5,121,416A).

In response thereto, applicant respectfully traverses the rejection based on the

following arguments. To establish a prima facie case of anticipation, the prior art

reference (i.e. Baumhauer) must teach or suggest all the limitations of independent

claims 1, 8 and 14. The independent claims 1, 8 and 14 are amended to

incorporated the following feature of claim 3; i.e. "the first microphone and the

second microphone (i.e. an input module as clamed in amended claims 8 and 14)

faces a predetermined direction for receiving the near-end audio signal and

9

'AUG-22-2007 WED 16:49

FAX NO.

P. 12/13

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/711,670

Docket No.:12847-US-PA

the loud speaker (i.e. an output module as clamed in amended claims 8 and 14)

faces a direction within a range just opposite to the predetermined direction,

and the direction in which the loudspeaker outputs the far-end audio signal is

opposite to the predetermined direction."

The Examiner rejects above underlined feature by referring col.8, lines 31-37

in Baumhauer (see lines 7-11, page 3 in OFFICE ACTION). In fact, Baumhauer

discloses "owing to the location of the nulls used in the present invention (90° and

180°), it is possible to reverse the direction of the various response pattern while still

maintaining loudspeaker 151 within these nulls." This is, aforementioned disclosure

only refers to the direction of response pattern to be reversed, not face direction of

microphones (or input module) and loudspeaker (or output module). Furthermore,

from Fig.13, in Baumhauer, loudspeaker 131 and four microphone housing 110-1, -2,

-3, -4 are aimed upwardly (see col.7, lines 54-55). In other words, in Baumhauer, a

direction in which the loudspeaker outputs far-end audio signal is the same as a

predetermined direction in which the microphone faces for receiving near-end audio

signal. However, as claimed in amended claims 1, 8, 14, a direction in which the

loudspeaker outputs far-end audio signal is opposite to a predetermined direction in

which the microphone faces for receiving near-end audio signal. Accordingly,

10

'AUG-22-2007 WED 16:49 FAX NO.

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/711,670 P. 13/13

Docket No.:12847-US-PA

configuration of microphone (or input module) and loudspeaker (output module) in

amended claims 1, 8 and 14 is totally different from that in Baumhauer. Thus,

Baumhauer fails to teach, suggest or disclose the aforementioned underlined feature

as claimed in amended claims 1, 8 and 14. Namely, amended claims 1, 8 and 14 are

not anticipated by Baumhauer, and accordingly patentable.

Regarding dependent claims 2, 5-7, 9, 11-13 and 15-17, they should be

patentable for the reason that they contain all limitations of their respective

patentable base claims 1, 8 and 14.

Discussion of rejection to claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

4-5. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Baumhauer in view of Miller (US 5,029,215).

In response thereto, since claims 4 and 10 are dependent claims, they contain the

aforementioned underlined feature as claimed in amended claims 1 and 8. Moreover,

Baumhauer fails to teach, suggest or disclose this feature, and so does the

combination of Baumhauer and Miller. Thus, claims 4 and 10 are patentable as a

matter of law for the reason that they contain all limitations of their corresponding

patentable base claims 1 and 8.

11

PAGE 13/13 * RCVD AT 8/22/2007 4:47:45 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/0 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):02-10